

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

KENNETH E. MORRIS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
v.)	2:23cv001-MHT
)	(WO)
JOHN Q. HAMM, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

This cause is now before the court on plaintiff's notice of appeal (Doc. 17), which the court is treating as a motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) provides that "an appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith." See also F.R.A.P. 24(a)(3)(A) ("A party who was permitted to proceed in forma pauperis in the district-court action ... may proceed on appeal in forma pauperis without further authorization, unless ... the district court--before or after the notice of appeal is filed--certifies that the appeal is not taken in good faith"). In making

this determination as to good faith, a court must use an objective standard, such as whether the appeal is "frivolous," *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962), or "has no substantive merit." *United States v. Bottoson*, 644 F.2d 1174, 1176 (5th Cir. Unit B May 15, 1981) (per curiam); see also *Rudolph v. Allen*, 666 F.2d 519, 520 (11th Cir. 1982) (per curiam); *Morris v. Ross*, 663 F.2d 1032 (11th Cir. 1981). Applying this standard, this court is of the opinion, for the reasons stated in the recommendation of the magistrate judge, that the plaintiff's appeal is without a legal or factual basis and, accordingly, is frivolous and not taken in good faith. See, e.g., *Rudolph v. Allen*, *supra*; *Brown v. Pena*, 441 F. Supp. 1382 (S.D. Fla. 1977), *aff'd without opinion*, 589 F.2d 1113 (5th Cir. 1979).

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the plaintiff's motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis is denied; and that the appeal in this cause is certified, pursuant

to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), as not taken in good faith.

DONE, this the 14th day of July, 2023.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE